

## The Hill determinant: an application to a class of confinement potentials

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1983 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 16 209

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/16/1/028>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 129.252.86.83

The article was downloaded on 30/05/2010 at 16:14

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

COMMENT

**The Hill determinant: an application to a class of confinement potentials**

R N Chaudhuri

Department of Physics, Visva-Bharati, Santiniketan 731235, West Bengal, India

Received 27 July 1982

**Abstract.** It is shown that the method of determination of the eigenvalues in terms of infinite continued fractions for a class of confinement potentials is equivalent to the vanishing of the Hill determinant. The problem of normalisation of the wavefunction is also discussed.

In a recent paper Datta and Mukherjee (1980) studied a class of confinement potentials of the form

$$V(r) = -a/r + br + cr^2 \quad c > 0 \tag{1}$$

and obtained the radial Schrödinger equation in the form

$$g''(r) + f(r)g'(r) + \phi(r)g(r) = 0 \tag{2}$$

by making the standard transformation

$$R(r) = r^{l+1} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha r^2 - \beta r)g(r) \tag{3}$$

where  $\alpha (> 0)$  and  $\beta$  are constants and  $l$  is the relative angular momentum. It was shown by Flessas (1982) that the forms of  $f(r)$  and  $\phi(r)$  are such that  $r = 0$  is a regular singular point and  $r = \infty$  is an irregular singular point of the differential equation (2). The indicial equation has the roots 0 and  $-(2l + 1)$  of which the latter is discarded since it does not satisfy the proper boundary condition at  $r = 0$ . Therefore equation (2) admits a convergent series solution

$$g(r) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n r^n \tag{4}$$

valid in the region  $0 \leq r < \infty$ . The coefficients  $p_n$  satisfy the difference equation

$$A_n p_{n+2} + B_n p_{n+1} + C_n p_n = 0 \tag{5}$$

with

$$p_{-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{-1} p_1 + B_{-1} p_0 = 0. \tag{6}$$

$A_n, B_n$  and  $C_n$  are functions of  $n, l$  and the energy  $E$ . Equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{p_{n+1}}{p_n} = \frac{-C_n}{B_n + A_n p_{n+2}/p_{n+1}}. \tag{7}$$



$D_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  is the Hill determinant. The necessary and sufficient condition that non-trivial  $p_n$  exist which solve (5) is that the infinite Hill determinant vanishes. The zeros of  $D_n$  in the energy parameter will determine the eigenvalues of the problem when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The  $D_n$  satisfy the following difference equation

$$D_n = B_{n-2}D_{n-1} - C_{n-2}A_{n-3}D_{n-2}. \tag{13}$$

The connection between the determinant and the continued fraction is that when  $D_n = 0$  the corresponding continued fraction (8) is truncated since  $p_n = 0$ . The vanishing of the Hill determinant corresponds to the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{B_{-1}}{A_{-1}} &= \frac{\begin{vmatrix} C_0 & A_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & B_1 & A_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & C_2 & B_2 & A_2 & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} B_0 & A_0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ C_1 & B_1 & A_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & C_2 & B_2 & A_2 & \dots \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \dots \end{vmatrix}} \\ &= \frac{C_0}{B_0 - \frac{A_0 C_1}{\cdot}} \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

So starting with the vanishing of the Hill determinant we arrive at the same infinite continued fraction as given in (8) in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So we find that, in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  or the vanishing of the Hill determinant, equation (8) gives the correct eigenvalues when  $R(r) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . In terms of the determinant the condition of normalisation of the wavefunction is

$$|D_k| \leq \sum_{n=0}^l M' \left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha\right)^n \beta^{k-2n+l+2} \frac{A_{-1}A_0 \dots A_{k-2}}{(n!(k-2n+l+2)!)} \tag{15}$$

where  $M' = M/p_0$ .

For each eigenvalue as determined by (8) or the vanishing of the Hill determinant (equation (12)) in the limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  the normalisation condition (10) or (15) should be checked. The equations are valid for all values of  $l$ .

### References

Biswas S N, Datta K, Saxena R P, Srivastava P K and Varma V S 1971 *Phys. Rev. D* **4** 3617-20  
 — 1973 *J. Math. Phys.* **14** 1190-5  
 Datta D P and Mukherjee S 1980 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **13** 3161-70  
 Flessas G P 1982 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** L1-5  
 Saxena R P and Varma V S 1982 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **15** L149-53